



UDC 910.1

IRSTI 14.91.11

DOI 10.37238/2960-1371.2960-138X.2025.100(4).155

¹**M. T. Uvaliyev***, ²**M. Doğan**, ³**B. Sh. Abdimanapov**, ⁴**T. O. Uvaliyev**

^{1,3,4}**Abai Kazakh National Pedagogical University, Almaty, Kazakhstan**

²**Istanbul university, Istanbul, Turkey**

***Corresponding-author:** maxi_bboy93@mail.ru

E-mail: maxi_bboy93@mail.ru

GLOBAL CHALLENGES OF THE 21ST CENTURY: DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF ELECTIVE COURSE FOR GRADES 10–11

Annotation. This paper reports on the design and forthcoming implementation (AY 2025–2026) of the author's elective “Global Challenges of the 21st Century” for Grades 10–11 (34 contact hours, one hour weekly) at KGU Gymnasium No. 4 named after A. S. Pushkin, Almaty. The course builds scientific and critical thinking, scientific literacy, and civic responsibility by engaging students with demographic, environmental, socio-economic and technological transformations shaping today's world. We present an instructional model that aligns the Sustainable Development Goals with upper-secondary geography: from posing problem-based questions and working with data to project work and argumentative communication. The syllabus comprises five modular strands and a capstone project defense; learning activities include dialogue lectures, map- and data-based inquiry, case analysis, and a Model-UN role-play game. Assessment follows a criterion-referenced approach mapped to Bloom's taxonomy, with descriptors for knowledge, understanding, application, analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. Expected learning outcomes and monitoring instruments are discussed, along with opportunities for cross-curricular integration with economics, biology, history and social studies.

Keywords: global challenges; sustainable development; upper-secondary; curriculum design; critical thinking; project-based learning; assessment.

Introduction

Schools need courses that help students make sense of global change and act with responsibility. Geography in upper-secondary grades can connect people, places, and environments through maps, tables, and texts. Our elective organizes learning around a small set of cross-cutting questions and evidence norms. This design keeps language clear and steps repeatable so that translation into Russian and Kazakh is direct and accurate. [1]

We align the elective with widely used frameworks. The Sustainable Development Goals provide a shared vocabulary for targets and indicators. UNESCO's roadmap on Education for Sustainable Development helps schools translate goals into



practical classroom actions. For climate science, the IPCC Synthesis Report offers careful headline statements that can be used without specialized background. For data, we rely on official datasets from the World Bank, the United Nations, and the World Health Organization. These sources are open, stable, and suitable for school use. [1–4]

Regional didactic research informs delivery. Integrated learning connects physical, economic, and social geography within one lesson. Flipped learning shifts exposition out of class so that precious class time can be used for analysis and feedback. Practice-oriented tasks on low-carbon development provide an authentic domain for argument and decision making in Grade 10–11. [5–7]

The elective runs for one hour each week across the school year (34 hours total). The first implementation site is KGU Gymnasium No. 4 named after A. S. Pushkin, Almaty. The syllabus fits a standard timetable and requires modest resources. Teachers use a compact lesson kit: a one-page concept outline, two visuals, and one task sheet. This economy supports scale and helps new teachers join quickly [8].

Materials and Methods

Design principles. Each two-hour micro-sequence follows a simple cycle: pose a question, analyze evidence, communicate a claim. The evidence set includes one map, one table or graph, and one short text. The claim is written in 120–150 words. The rubric has four criteria: data and method quality, argument and interpretation, communication, and civic or scientific relevance. [4]

Sources and alignment. We triangulate three anchors: (a) SDGs and UNESCO's ESD roadmap (values and goals); (b) IPCC (reliable climate science); (c) official international datasets (numbers students can verify). This keeps lessons current and evidence-based without advanced software. [1–4]

Teaching approaches. Before class, students may read a half-page note or watch a three-minute micro-lecture. In class, the teacher runs a short dialogue lecture, then groups analyze a map and a graph. Students write a brief and share it. This routine takes 35–40 minutes and leaves time for feedback. Integrated and flipped learning are used in precise, limited ways to support participation. [5,6]

Assessment approach. Formative checks happen every lesson and are short: read a legend, select a scale, describe a trend, name a cause. Summative checks occur after each strand and include a theme test, a short paper, and a capstone project presented to peers. The project rubric uses four criteria with four levels each (0–3). [4,7]

Ethics, inclusion, and feasibility. Tasks use public data and avoid personal information. Students work in mixed groups. Materials are readable, with clear fonts and high contrast. When internet access is weak, teachers use printed packets; all tasks have an offline version. The elective fits a weekly slot in diverse school settings. [8]

Results and discussion

Syllabus architecture. The 34-hour course is divided into six strands and a capstone [Table 1]. Strand 1 (2 h) introduces the idea of global challenges and maps SDG targets to course topics. Strand 2 (4 h) develops demographic reasoning with age pyramids, growth and dependency ratios, and migration cases. Strand 3 (8 h) focuses on climate and natural resources, including freshwater stress, land degradation, and biodiversity. Strand 4 (4 h) treats pollution and energy transitions. Strand 5 (8 h) examines



socio-political challenges such as inequality, health, food security, and conflict. Strand 6 (6 h) studies global governance and digitalization, including a Model-UN role-play and sustainable-city cases. The capstone (2 h plus homework) is a short research or civic project with a five-minute defense. [8]

Table 1 - Plan of the elective course

| No. | Strand | Lesson focus (lecture) | Seminar / activity | Session descriptor | Product / assessment |
|-----|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 1. Orientation & SDGs | Global challenges: definitions | Mapping SDG targets | define the term and name examples | 60-word explanation |
| 2 | 1. Orientation & SDGs | Sustainable development: principles | Goal-indicator mapping | link a local issue to one SDG target | brief with one indicator |
| 3 | 2. Demography | Population growth and urbanization | Reading age pyramids | compute simple ratios | table of indicators |
| 4 | 2. Demography | Demographic trend analysis | Urbanization maps | describe two trends with numbers | 120-word note |
| 5 | 2. Demography | Migration: drivers and impacts | Case packet (two cases) | distinguish push and pull | case comparison table |
| 6 | 2. Demography | Migration: regional cases | Map of flows | name likely impacts | 150-word policy brief |
| 7 | 3. Climate & Resources | Climate change: causes/effects | Temperature anomaly maps | name two cause–effect links | annotated map |
| 8 | 3. Climate & Resources | Climate models in plain language | Impact excerpts | summarize implications | Q&A sheet |
| 9 | 3. Climate & Resources | Freshwater scarcity and pollution | Regional water data | cite two risks for one basin | bullet list (4 points) |
| 10 | 3. Climate & Resources | Global water availability | Comparative graphs | compare two regions with one metric | 70-word note |



| | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 11 | 3. Climate & Resources | Land degradation and desertification | Land-use profiles | identify pressures | diagram (pressures–responses) |
| 12 | 3. Climate & Resources | Avoiding land degradation | Case examples | name two prevention measures | short table |
| 13 | 3. Climate & Resources | Biodiversity loss and protection | Protected areas map | explain importance | captioned figure |
| 14 | 3. Climate & Resources | Species recovery; invasive risks | Case snapshots | assess risks and trade-offs | 100-word brief |
| 15 | 4. Pollution & Energy | Pollution types; waste reduction | Local examples | classify types | sorted list |
| 16 | 4. Pollution & Energy | Sustainability solutions | Best-fit options | justify a choice | criteria grid |
| 17 | 4. Pollution & Energy | Energy resources and alternatives | Source profiles | contrast two sources | two-column table |
| 18 | 4. Pollution & Energy | Comparing energy systems | Life-cycle and trade-offs | argue for a mix | argument paragraph |
| 19 | 5. Socio-political Challenges | Poverty, jobs, education | Inequality indicators | explain disparities | chart interpretation |
| 20 | 5. Socio-political Challenges | Regional economies | GDP & structure | relate structure to jobs | 80-word note |
| 21 | 5. Socio-political Challenges | Health systems and access | Health coverage maps | identify challenges | short memo |
| 22 | 5. Socio-political Challenges | Pandemics: causes and lessons | Outbreak timeline | name two lessons | timeline captions |
| 23 | 5. Socio-political Challenges | Food security | Supply/demand data | assess risks | risk table |
| 24 | 5. Socio-political Challenges | FAO and program design | Program briefs | evaluate one program | criteria-based review |



| | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| 25 | 5. Socio-political Challenges | Security and conflict | Institution roles | outline roles | flow diagram |
| 26 | 5. Socio-political Challenges | Regional conflicts | Case packet | analyze causes | case summary |
| 27 | 6. Governance & Digitalization | International organizations | Mandates and functions | match roles to cases | matching sheet |
| 28 | 6. Governance & Digitalization | UN simulation | Rules and procedures | negotiate a clause | draft resolution (100 words) |
| 29 | 6. Governance & Digitalization | Technology and digital divide | Access indicators | explain effects | indicator summary |
| 30 | 6. Governance & Digitalization | AI and cyber risks | Neutral case notes | weigh risks and benefits | pros/cons table |
| 31 | 6. Governance & Digitalization | Sustainable development revisited | Indicator review | synthesize learning | exit ticket |
| 32 | 6. Governance & Digitalization | Sustainable cities | Case comparison | adapt ideas to context | adaptation note |
| 33 | Capstone | Project synthesis | Consultation | finalize evidence set | project outline |
| 34 | Capstone | Capstone presentations | Viva with Q&A | defend claims with data | 5-minute talk + Q&A |

Authors' Own Work

The table shows how each hour yields a product that builds toward the capstone. The routine makes reasoning visible and supports language development. Where connectivity is limited, all activities have offline versions using printed packets. [8]

Strand 1 — Orientation and SDGs (2 hours). Students learn what the term “global challenges” means. They map SDG targets to course topics. They write a short paragraph explaining how one local issue connects to a global goal [1,2].

Strand 2 — Demography (4 hours). Students examine age pyramids, growth rates, and urbanization. They compute dependency ratios and compare two countries. They study migration drivers and impacts using case summaries. The product is a 150-word policy brief that predicts pressures on education, jobs, or pensions in one context.

Strand 3 — Climate and Natural Resources (8 hours). Students analyze drivers and impacts of climate change. They study water stress in Kazakhstan and globally. [9-10] They examine land degradation and desertification. They discuss biodiversity loss



and invasive species. Lessons use maps, time-series graphs, and short texts. IPCC headline statements anchor claims [3].

Strand 4 — Pollution and Energy (4 hours). Students classify pollution types and discuss waste reduction. They compare energy sources using simple life-cycle externalities and system trade-offs. They make a table that contrasts at least four sources by efficiency, reliability, cost, and environmental impact. They defend an energy mix for a hypothetical city.

Strand 5 — Socio-Political Challenges (8 hours). Students analyze poverty and inequality, access to education and health, pandemics and health security, food security, and conflict. They use official datasets and short institutional notes. They practice writing claims that use numbers and name uncertainty [4].

Strand 6 — Global Governance and Digitalization (6 hours). Students study the role of international and regional organizations. They run a short Model-UN role-play. They evaluate digital transformation and the digital divide. They reflect on AI and cyber risks with simple, non-technical cases. They examine sustainable city case studies and discuss what can be adapted to local conditions.

Capstone (2 hours plus homework). Students present and defend a small research or civic project. The project uses at least two independent sources and one visual. The defense is a five-minute talk with two questions from peers.

Learning outcomes. By the end of the course students can: identify and explain drivers of major global challenges; use maps, tables, and policy texts to analyze trends; compare solution strategies using explicit criteria; design and communicate a small project. These outcomes are mapped to Bloom's levels and to task stems. The mapping helps teachers plan and helps students self-assess.

Task archetypes. We provide reusable templates. Example 1: a map-and-graph pairing that shows drought risk and agricultural yields. Students write two causal links. Example 2: a four-cell table comparing energy sources. Example 3: a data brief that uses two indicators to argue for one policy action. Example 4: a case comparison of two cities with different sustainability profiles.

Monitoring and feedback. Each lesson yields a product that goes into a learner portfolio. Teachers write two short comments: one on strength, one on next step. At weeks 10 and 25, students select one artifact to revise. They explain what changed and why. This builds metacognition.

Workload and feasibility. The lesson-kit approach reduces preparation time. Teachers reuse visual pairs and update only the numbers. The elective fits into a weekly slot. Where classes have strong internet, teachers can add interactive dashboards. Where access is limited, printed packets keep learning on track.

Coherence versus variety. The course balances a stable routine with diverse content and scales. The routine reduces cognitive switching costs. The variety keeps interest high. This balance supports knowledge integration, a key goal in senior-grade geography [5].

Depth without overload. The elective avoids superficial coverage by demanding evidence-based claims every week. At the same time, it limits the number of techniques.



Students practice the same moves in different contexts. Over time, they improve at reading maps, choosing indicators, and naming causes and consequences.

Role of research-informed methods. Integrated learning and flipped learning are not used as slogans. They are used in precise ways. Integrated learning aligns physical, economic, and social aspects within one task [5]. Flipped learning shifts some exposition out of class so that in-class time is used for analysis and feedback [6]. Both methods support equitable participation and allow more time for language support when needed.

Low-carbon practice tasks. Tasks that model choices about transport, energy efficiency, and urban greening give students a concrete domain for argumentation. They move discussion from ideology to criteria-based evaluation of trade-offs [7]. Students compare options, use data, and justify conclusions in plain language. This is vital for easy translation and for communication with parents and community members.

Risks and safeguards. Risks include duplication with the core syllabus, drift into advocacy, and unequal digital access. Safeguards include alignment maps, neutral source packets, offline alternatives, and rubrics that privilege method transparency over final position. Teachers receive guidance on moderating debate and on supporting students who need more time or language help.

Implementation in context. The first implementation will occur in 2025–2026 at KGU Gymnasium No. 4 named after A. S. Pushkin, Almaty. The site offers a realistic mix of resources. The program will collect teacher notes and student work samples to inform revisions in the next cycle.

Conclusion

This article described the design and implementation plan for a 34-hour elective on global challenges for Grades 10–11 [11-13]. The design centers a small set of repeatable analytical moves and uses open, authoritative sources. It is resource-light and feasible within a weekly period. It fosters scientific literacy, critical thinking, and civic responsibility.

The course described here offers a practical pathway for schools seeking to embed sustained, data-rich inquiry into upper-secondary geography without heavy resource demands. By centering a small repertoire of analytical moves and aligning products to a clear rubric, the design balances ambition with feasibility. The modular structure invites localization—schools can swap case studies and datasets to reflect regional realities—while preserving a stable progression from description to explanation to evaluation.

Next steps include collecting comparative performance data, analyzing portfolios for growth in evidentiary reasoning, and refining task banks based on teacher and student feedback. The broader contribution is a model for how a weekly elective can cultivate scientific literacy and civic competence regarding intertwined global challenges.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Abai Kazakh National Pedagogical University for methodological and organizational support. This work received financial support from the Rector's Grant for Young Researchers at Abai Kazakh National Pedagogical University. We also thank teachers and administrators at KGU Gymnasium No. 4 named after A. S. Pushkin, Almaty, for their cooperation during planning and piloting.



REFERENCES

- [1] United Nations. The Sustainable Development Goals Report 2024. (2024). — New York: United Nations. UNEP. Global Environment Outlook.
- [2] UNESCO. (2020) Education for Sustainable Development: A Roadmap. — Paris: UNESCO.
- [3] Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2023) AR6 Synthesis Report: Climate Change 2023. — Geneva: IPCC.
- [4] WHO; UN; World Bank. Official statistical tables and dashboards. (2024).
- [5] Kubesova, Gulnar. (2024). Integrated Learning in Teaching Modern Geography. Vestnik ZKU. 95. 215. DOI: 10.37238/2960-1371.2960-138X.2024.95(3).79.
- [6] Quantaeva, Saniya. (2024). Geografija sabaqtarynda “tóńkerilgen oqytu” ádisin qoldanu erekshelikteri. Vestnik ZKU. 95. 221. DOI: 10.37238/2960-1371.2960-138X.2024.95(3).80. [in Kazakh]
- [7] A.M, Shakirova. (2025). Strategija nizkouglernogo razvitiya na osnove praktiko-orientirovannyh zadanij po geografii dlja uchashhihsja 11 klassa. Vestnik ZKU. 98. 306. DOI: 10.37238/2960-1371.2960-138X.2025.98(2).78. [in Russian]
- [8] United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Global Environment Outlook. — Nairobi: UNEP, 2019.
- [9] UN SDG; Climate Central; Worldometer. Indicator dashboards for educational use.
- [10] Beisenova, A.S.; Shedenov, O.K. (2021). Ekonomikalyq zhane aleumettik geografiia. Almaty: Mektep. [in Kazakh]
- [11] K.D. Kajmulinova, S.A. Abilmazhinova. (2019). Geografija: uchebnik dlja 10 klassov estestvenno-matematicheskogo napravlenija obshheobrazovatel'nyh shkol / - Almaty: Mektep, - 288 s.: il. - (EMN). - ISBN 978-601-07-1216-4
- [12] K.D. Kajmulinova, B.Sh. Abdimanapov, S.A. Abilmazhinova. (2020). Geografija : uchebnik dlja 11 klassov estestvenno-matematicheskogo napravlenija obshheobrazovatel'nyh shkol / - Almaty: Mektep, - 296 s.: ris., tabl. - (Estestvenno-matematicheskoe napravlenie). - ISBN 978-601-07-1417-5
- [13] Atlas po geografii Kazakhstana. 10–11 klassy. Almaty: Kartografiia, 2021. [in Russian]

**Увалиев М.Т., М.Доган, Абдиманапов Б.Ш., Увалиев Т.О.
XXI ғасырдың жаһандық сын-қатерлері: 10–11
сыныптарға арналған география пәнінен әлективті
курсты жобалау жөне енгізу**

Аңдатпа. Мақалада 2025–2026 оку жылында Алматы қаласындағы «А.С. Пушкин атындағы №4 гимназияда» енгізілетін 10–11 сыныптарға арналған «XXI ғасырдың жаһандық сын-қатерлері» авторлық әлектив курсының (34 сағат, аптасына 1 сағат) жобалануы мен іске асыру тәсілдері ұсынылады. Курс оқушылардың ғылыми және сынни ойлауын, жаратылыстанымдық сауаттылығын, азаматтық жауапкершілігін қалыптастыруға бағытталған; демографиялық



ұрдістер, климат пен табиғи ресурстар, ластану мен энергия, әлеуметтік-экономикалық теңсіздік, жаһандық басқару және цифрландыру сияқты тақырыптарды қамтиды. Мақалада ТДМ-мен (ЦУР) үйлескен дидактикалық үлгі, модульдік құрылым, карталар мен статистикамен жұмыс, «БҰҰ Моделі» рөлдік ойны, жобалық жұмыс және Блум таксономиясына негізделген критериалды бағалау сипатталады. Күтілетін нәтижелер мен мониторинг тетіктері, пәнаралық байланыстардың мүмкіндіктері көрсетілген. Материал мұғалімдерге, әдіскерлерге және бағдарлама әзірлеушілеріне арналған.

Кілт сөздер: жаһандық сын-қатерлер; тұрақты даму; жоғары сынып; оқу бағдарламасы; сынни ойлау; жобаға негізделген оқу; бағалау.

Увалиев М.Т., М.Доган, Абдиманапов Б.Ш., Увалиев Т.О.
ГЛОБАЛЬНЫЕ ВЫЗОВЫ ХХI ВЕКА: ПРОЕКТИРОВАНИЕ И
ВНЕДРЕНИЕ ЭЛЕКТИВНОГО КУРСА ПО ГЕОГРАФИИ ДЛЯ 10–11
КЛАССОВ

Аннотация. Статья описывает разработку и предстоящее внедрение в 2025–2026 учебном году авторского элективного курса по географии «Глобальные вызовы ХХI века» для 10–11 классов (34 ч., 1 ч. в неделю) в КГУ «Гимназия №4 им. А.С. Пушкина» (Алматы). Курс направлен на формирование научного и критического мышления, естественнонаучной грамотности и гражданской ответственности через системный анализ демографических, экологических, социально-экономических и технологических трансформаций современного мира. Предлагается дидактическая модель, связывающая цели устойчивого развития с логикой школьного курса: от постановки проблемных вопросов и работы с данными до проектной деятельности и аргументированного представления результатов. Описаны структура курса (пять модульных блоков и итоговая защита проекта), виды учебной деятельности (лекции-диалоги, работа с картами и статистикой, кейс-анализ, ролевая игра «Модель ООН»), а также критериально-дескрипторное оценивание, соотносящееся с уровнями таксономии Блума. Представлены ожидаемые результаты обучения и механизмы их мониторинга, показана применимость курса для интеграции межпредметных связей географии с экономикой, биологией, историей и обществоведением. Материал ориентирован на учителей, методистов и разработчиков программ, стремящихся обеспечить практико-ориентированное изучение глобальных процессов в старшей школе.

Ключевые слова: глобальные вызовы; устойчивое развитие; старшая школа; учебная программа; критическое мышление; проектное обучение; оценивание.